

To: Mark Johnson[mdjohnson@cdc.gov]
Cc: Durno, Mark[durno.mark@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]; Melissa Mays[wateryoufightingfor@gmail.com]; Harold Harrington[ualocal370@comcast.net]; Ben Ranger[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
From: Scott Smith
Sent: Sun 5/8/2016 10:04:45 PM
Subject: FW: EPA Testing Results
EPA1.pdf
EPA2.pdf
EPA3.pdf
EPA4.pdf
EPA5.pdf
EPA6.pdf
Flint Testing Apr-2016 1604370 Report 04-15-16.pdf
Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy 09 103 SLIDES.pdf
Mayor Weaver for President Obama 05-04-16.pdf

.....
>>>>>>
Mark,

I spoke with [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] several times in the last 24 hours and told her I would write this e-mail to you. I have copied [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] and Dr. Judith Zelikoff (toxicologist NYU School of Medicine and advisor / consultant to me / Water Defense). I have also copied [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] and [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] other residents whose homes have been tested and Water Defense has provided detailed testing reports.

It is my understanding that you are meeting and/or calling these residents and continue to make statements/judgements about Water Defense testing that has been done in partnership alongside Harold Harrington and Ben Ranger of the UA370 and Melissa Mays, but yet you nor the EPA have provided these residents with EPA, CDC, or State of MI funded testing detailed testing reports for the full spectrum of chemicals including but not limited to testing hot water in showers and water heaters. With all due respect, can you please explain the logic behind this line of thinking coming out of the CDC and EPA (especially in light of what President Obama said last week about the scientists that work for him)?

This will confirm that I have repeatedly invited you and the EPA to do side by side testing with me/Water Defense throughout residents homes from the water as it enters the house to the water heater to the hot shower water. That offer remains open. I continue to be surprised at the statements I hear back from residents as to what you say about me personally and Water Defense and the testing done by Water Defense. I am only interested in respectful and professional dialogue for the benefit of the residents of Flint to help them understand what may be in their water.

Here are some questions for you to answer and some information I came across:

1. Water Defense has provided detailed independent lab reports and we have yet to see the equivalent from the EPA / CDC or those getting paid by the State of MI. Why is this? How can you make statements about Water Defense testing without going line by line with side by side testing reports? Note the e-mail exchange below that started from a resident to me with the EPA attachments provided.
2. We found 1,100 ppb of Lead in [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]'s water heater – with grab samples. I understand you were in her home today with the EPA and the water heater was not tested. Why?
3. Please note that attached Dr. Susan Richardson's presentation based on her research in 2009 while at the EPA Office of R&D and the statements below in the prior e-mail. Do you discount

this research and/or disagree with it? Do the CDC and/or the EPA dismiss Dr. Susan Richardson's prior research?

4. I did find this link to Marc Edwards statement about Dr. Susan Richardson from January 21, 2016 as a point of reference for all to review: In no way am I representing that Water Defense or anyone working with Water Defense agrees with this, but since the EPA is always quoting Marc Edwards and I believe possibly funding Marc Edwards beginning in 2016, I found this prior statement from Marc Edwards interesting. Begin quote from Marc Edwards, "Over the last ten years Americans have been increasingly frustrated by an EPA that protects employees who get paid to watch pornography, repeatedly engage in sexual harassment, retaliates against whistleblowers, and hands out Gold Medal awards after an incident that lead-poisoned hundreds (and perhaps thousands) of D.C. children. What can get you fired at the U.S. EPA? Doing your job. Like Mr. Miguel Del Toral, my friend Dr. Susan Richardson and countless others. Our U.S. EPA and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), currently epitomize the human perversion of natural selection at government agencies, which retains unethical cowards and destroys heroic actors - end quote Marc Edwards.

○ Full link is here: <http://flintwaterstudy.org/2016/01/hedman-resignation-gives-hope-for-epa-climate-change/>

5. For the record, Water Defense has great respect for Marc Edwards work on lead and what he did last year in Flint to help the Mothers of Flint and community who reached out to him and effectively tested their own water in conjunction with Marc Edwards and Virginia Tech.
6. I have attached a presentation summary of Water Defense work to date that I sent to Mayor Weaver to present to President Obama at her discretion last week. I would really like to get your input and review and adjust accordingly. Maybe you, me, and Dr. Zelikoff could have a conference call upon your review of this? Note the homes are coded on this presentation to protect the requested privacy of some residents.

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you directly.

Best Regards,

Scott Smith
Chief Technology Officer & Investigator
Water Defense
Twitter @WaterWarriorOne
(508) 345-6520

From:

Scott Smith <ssmith@waterdefense.org>

Date: Friday, May 6, 2016 at 5:38 PM

To: Nancy Grantham <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>, Mark Durno <durno.mark@epa.gov>

Cc: Ben Ranger [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Harold Harrington <ualocal370@comcast.net>,
[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Subject: FW: EPA Testing Results

Nancy,

Per your request for additional information, below and attached is one example of a communication I received from a resident in Flint. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] sent me all the information received from the EPA

and there is no detailed lab report from the EPA. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] apartment was tested by Water Defense and is labelled UMF in the attached ALS Environmental detailed report (that includes 4 homes).

The detailed lab report for 4 homes illustrates the kind of information we gather from all of the testing done by Water Defense / UA370 / [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] / all with Residents present. I understand this detailed lab report was sent to Diane Russell at the EPA by [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]. This will illustrate the detailed, independent lab reports (from ALS Environmental) that we would like to put side by side with the EPA and other Lab reports done by those that are paid by the State of MI. Note this is in addition to the prior detailed lab reports that I provided to the EPA when we met at the command center in Flint some time ago.

We have subsequently stopped combining homes on ALS Environmental testing reports and now have detailed lab reports done on a resident specific basis as some of the residents have expressed concerns about what they view to be unprofessional communications and a lack of receiving reciprocal information.

Both [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] and [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] have requested that I not forward their detailed testing results from the last week as they have been receiving harassing communications and want to control their own detailed testing information / lab reports. I must honor and respect that request. Furthermore, it is my understanding (from what I have been told) that these harassing communications are not from the EPA but allegedly originating from people who are being paid by the State of MI and may be involved in testing.

Also, I have attached the prior Susan Richardson presentation from the EPA Office of R&D from 2009 based on her work at the EPA.

Over the weekend, I will be reviewing the prior summary spreadsheets the EPA sent me previously (again just to reconfirm I have not received any detailed lab reports as I previously provided to the EPA). As I recall, I believe that the EPA did test for Chloroform and a few other trihalomethanes with grab samples and had some results in ranges of around 5 ppb but not over 10 ppb, but I need to verify and check this. I do know the Water Defense grab sample testing in showers/bathtubs shows Chloroform at significantly higher concentrations in excess of 20 ppb. Clearly, we don't know what this ultimately means until we gather more data and do more research and testing.

However, with the additional homes tested and all of our data to date (including the cumulative exposure testing), it seems to corroborate Susan Richardson's prior EPA research from 2009.

I will be working on graphical summary data to send to you and Mark next week that will have the homes coded in a way to protect the wishes of the residents. We might want to schedule a conference call to discuss this at some time in the future and/or have a joint roundtable with the residents and the UA370 to have a collaborative discussion.

Questions: Are you or Mark aware of any Water Heater testing done by the EPA or anyone else? Is there any comparative data to any other cities in bathtubs/showers for Chloroform and other volatiles with grab sample testing showing concentrations in excess of 20 ppb and 30 ppb as we have been seeing in our data for Flint? Is it possible that the compromised pipes (from the distribution system all the way through to the showers and bathtubs in homes) and other issues in the Flint distribution system have an inordinate amount of organic matter and/or chlorine in the system that is reacting to created these volatile chemicals like Chloroform? Given Susan Richardson's prior research it seems that these may be appropriate questions.

Thanks and please see e-mail I received below:

Best Regards,

Scott Smith
Chief Technology Officer & Investigator
Water Defense
Twitter @WaterWarriorOne
(508) 345-6520

From:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Reply-To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Date: Wednesday, May 4, 2016 at 8:26 PM

To: Scott Smith <ssmith@waterdefense.org>

Subject: EPA Testing Results

Scott,

Attached are the testing results from the EPA from my apartment, as you can tell while looking at them they never tested for VOC's and when I spoke to them to ask them why they never tested for VOC's I was told (and it has been recorded) that it was not necessary. I want to thank water defense for looking for what the EPA has failed to look for. If you ever need help collecting samples please let me know, I am more than willing to help out.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

On 5/6/16, 4:49 PM, "Grantham, Nancy" <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks Scott,

If you can provide any additional info that would be useful.

Thanks

Nancy Grantham

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Smith [<mailto:ssmith@waterdefense.org>]

Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 9:12 AM

To: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>; Durno, Mark <durno.mark@epa.gov>

Cc: Ben Ranger; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Harold Harrington <ualocal370@comcast.net>; Melissa Mays <wateryoufightingfor@gmail.com>

Subject: Challenges / Feedback from Flint Residents

Nancy / Mark,

I am getting some calls from residents questioning why the EPA is contacting residents whose homes Water Defense has tested demanding Water Defense test reports without the EPA providing residents detailed lab reports.

I continue to work in good faith with the EPA and will continue to do so irrespective of what appears to be continued confusion and misstatements by those working for the State of MI.

Water Defense is using an independent lab and taking grab samples properly with preservatives. We have documented our testing process in detail and the residents understand this. Also, we have yet to see equivalent detailed lab reports from the EPA or those being paid by the State of MI for testing.

It seems to me that we need to have a community meeting and put all detailed lab reports side by side. We need to put all of our testing side by side and show what has been tested and for what chemicals - from water as it enters a home, to water heaters, to hot water in showers and sinks.

When can the EPA provide their detailed lab reports so there is some reciprocity here and we can all work together for the benefit of the Flint residents?

Best Regards,
Scott C. Smith (508-345-6520)
Chief Technology Officer & Investigator
ssmith@waterdefense.org
Twitter: @WaterWarriorOne